

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,343	11/17/2003	James W. Myrland	27420007	7625
25005 Intellectual Pro	7590 06/26/20	80	EXAMINER	
Dewitt Ross &			W. Myrland 27420007 7625 EXAMINER DONNELLY, JEROME W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3764	, JEROME W
2 East Mifflin Suite 600	2 East Mifflin Street Suite 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Madison, WI	53703-2865		3764	
		•		
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	A D	I Am Brands			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Surrence	10/716,343	MYRLAND, JAMES W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cloud will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 5, 24, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,	ation. /j2 5-/0,12 14- Irawn from consideration. -/0,12,14,15,18,26,22, -/1,20,53,450, 31,38,47,49,apd. d/or election requirement.	16,18,20 22-29 31,32 3103 53 23,25-29,54,35 39-45 58 are)			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority docum	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
		\sim \sim \sim \sim			
Attachment(s)	JEROME DONNELLY	5			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	PRIMARY EXAMINER 4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/716,343

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 5, 24, 31, 38, 47, 49 and 50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16, 32, and 46 are allowed.

Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Snyder.

Snyder discloses a device comprising a horizontal cross bar, (2), first and second struts (13) a support leg (3) terminating in a collar rotatively positioned on the first and second struts, said collar having stops in the form of flanges, on element (9 and 7), said stops may be interpreted as (elements (15, 16) and 18) lastly stops may be interpreted as elements 4. The support leg is also clearly shown as being movable about the axis of the struts into and out of coplanar alignment with the struts and cross bar.

Claims 7-10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25-29, 34, 35, 39-45, 48, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snyder in view of Holmes.

Snyder discloses the device of claims 7-10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25-29, 34, 35, 39-45, 48, 51 and 33 are substantially as claimed absent the device including resilient locking means as specifically claimed in various claims wherein the locking means is in the form of an elastic band/helical spring, a locking member and indentations working together to lock movable components together.

Holmes discloses a device having an elastic member as a portion of a locking means a first strut and a rotatable leg, and wherein an elastic member is situated on the leg of his device and the elastic member bears against a first strut.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,343

Art Unit: 3764

Given the above teaching of Holmes of elastic members bearing against attached rotatable members. The examiner notes that to attach resilient locking means between perpendicular strut members is obvious and that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide similar locking means on the device of Snyder for the purpose of locking two telescopic components together and or to lock rotatable components in place.

In regard to applicants claims of "about the first strut member" the examiner reminds the applicant "that the word about" is not indicative of a term claiming a limitation such as "encircling".

After carefully reconsideration of the claims the examiner has determined that the indicated allowability of the claims in the office action of 10/29/08 is withdrawn.

Rejections based on the newly presented prior art combinations has been presented above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571)272-4975.

JEROME DONNELLY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jerome Donnelly